Pediatric Wheeled Mobility "Enabling Children to Explore, Play and Satisfy their Curiosity"



Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center Downey, California, US

# Background

 Previous research has found independent movement is extremely important in a child's development and can facilitate cognitive, psycho-social and language skills

Campos, Bertenthal, Erikson, Piaget, Kermonian

Limited mobility experiences and psychosocial development

- Environmental Deprivation
- Sense of identity
- Isolation/Depression
- Restricted Mobility: learned helplessness, decreased motivation

 Potential causes for cognitive, perceptual delay and spatial development

> Zubeck J (1963),Tatlow (1980), Brinker (1982), Verburg (1987)

### **Mobility and Visual Development**

Independent movement facilitates visual development through:

- Cortical development
- Spatial relationship comprehension
- Depth perception
- Vestibular information

# **Restricted Mobility in Play**

- Less co-operative play
- Lower status/passive role
- Observer

Leads to frustration, apathy, decreased social development, self-esteem and identity formation

Tamm M, Skar L. (2000)

### **Demands of Ambulation**

- Pathological gait patterns increase muscular demands and energy expenditure
- Energy cost of walking taxes ability to concentration in school
- Ability to ambulate declines as child grows older and heavier

Waters et.al. (1983), Franks et.al.

(1991), Rose et.al. (1989), Wiart et.al. (1999)

### **Demands of Manual W/C propulsion**

- Manual w/c propulsion requires higher O2 consumption than ambulation in typical child
- W/c size, shorter UE and similar issues compromising gait also affect ability to propel efficiently
- Age and environmental demands

### **Optimal Wheelchair Configuration**

- Upright Pelvis/trunk
- Backrest: perpendicular to floor, height below the scapula
- Adjust the rear axle forward 2"
- Position the rear-axle so that the upper arm and forearm angle:100 -120 degrees.
   "10-2" propulsion pattern



# Wheel Axle placement







# Wheel placement







# "Wheelie Test"

 Have patient pop a wheelie. Want the front casters at least 1" off of the ground.

• If front casters are greater than 4" off of the ground, then the axle is too far back.



### Wheelchair skills









# **Suspension Wheelchairs**



### **Power Assist wheels**



# **Energy** Consumption

	Rate of O2 consumption	Oxygen cost	Heart rate
Standard w/c	8.4 ml/kg min	.11 ml/kg m	82
I- Glide	6.9	.11	72
Xtender	6.7	.07	75
E-motion	6.2	,08	78

Clinical application of the Dynamic Systems Theory in pediatric mobility

 Task accomplishment by most efficient strategies available in order to explore their environment and participate in meaningful activities

Wiart L, Darrah J, 2002

**Functional Independent Early Powered Mobility** 

- Has positive impact on psychosocial skills
- Reduces learned helplessness and increases confidence, cooperation, and interactions with others
- Improves quality and quantity of play skills with peers and family

"Mobility must be functional so exploration can be spontaneously initiated and successful"

C. Butler, 1984

Stages of Mobility development are related to stages of Cognitive Development

Tefft, Guerrette, Furumasu 1996

# Factors That Affect Powered Mobility

- Physical access
- Cognitive readiness
- Temperament
- Dynamic integration of sensorimotor processing

### Objectives – Project I (1990-95)

- Develop cognitive assessment battery for children with physical disabilities
- Develop powered mobility skills list and objective scoring scale
- Document relationship between cognitive skills and powered mobility skills

# Demographics of Participants (N = 26)

Diagnoses Arthrogryposis (9) - Muscle Disease (9) -SCI(3)- Other (5) Gender - Male (20) - Female (6)

### Demographics

#### Age range

- 18 26 mos (8)
- 27 30 mos (10)
- 31 36 mos (8)

#### • Mean age = 28.9 mos

### **Assessment Battery Requirements**

- Piagetian, criterion based
- 18 36 month age range
- Flexible administration procedures that do not penalize motor limitations

## **Piagetian-Based Domains**

- A total of 83 items evaluated the following domains:
- Cause and Effect
- Object Permanence
- Problem Solving
- Spatial Relations
- Symbolic Play

### **Stages of Development**

SM V (12-18 mos) Trial and Error

SM VI (19-24 mos) Insight/Symbolism
PO (25 - 42 mos) Problem solving



### **Power Mobility Program (PMP)**

- 17 Basic/Exploratory Skills starting/stopping, directional and speed control

 - 17 Functional Mobility – Structured and unstructured environments doors, hallways, sidewalks,ramps etc

### **Motivational Learning through Play**



### **Motivational Play and Exploration**







# **PMP** Scoring

- 0 -- Task not attempted
- 1 -- Maximal assist of joystick
- 2 -- Minimal assist of joystick
- 3 -- Direct stand by guarding with verbal cueing
- 4 -- Verbal cueing only
- 5 -- Age appropriate supervision

# **PMP Scoring**

Score	Amount of Assistance	# Children
0 - <3	Maximal to minimal hands-on assistance	15
3 - <4	Stand-by assistance	2
4 - 5	Verbal cueing to age-appropriate supervision	9

### **Regression Analysis**

Used to determine the cognitive factors that predict powered mobility driving performance

Spatial relations and problem solving were significant (p<.05,  $R^2$ =.57)

### **Cognitive Levels**

#### **Basic Skills**:

Problems solving = 20 mos
Spatial relations = 25 mos
Functional skills:
Problem solving = 30 mos
Spatial relations = 25 mos

Cutoffs yield sensitivity = 1.0, specificity = .80



# **Pediatric Powered Toys**

- Go KART, Sit to stand Innovative Designs: www.iphope.com
- Cooper Car: <u>www.rjcooper.com/coopercar</u>
- Adapting power toys: www.tetrasociety.org/project pages/modified\_childrens\_v ehicle.htm
- www.scienceshareware.com/t oys.htm



# **Modified Power Toys**





Powered Mobility and Young Children With Disabilities: A <u>Multicenter Trial</u> Project II (1996-2000)

> Funded by NIDRR, Dept of Education Donita Tefft, M.A., CCC-SP Paula Guerette, Ph.D. Jan Furumasu, B.S., PT, ATP Los Amigos Research and Education Institute Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center

### **Objectives – Project II**

- Explore applicability to children with CP
- Determine if assessment of other factors (i.e., coping skills, level of symbolic representation) can increase predictive power of PPWST
- PPWST applicable to switch users. (modify PPWST for Yes/No and eye gaze responses)

# Symbolic Representational Scale



# Children Tested (N = 50)

- Children with orthopedic disabilities (N = 24) -- 18-36 mos
- Children with CP (N = 26) -- 2-6 yrs
- Either joystick or switch access



Regression Analysis by Access Type

Group	Ν	Significant Factors	R <sup>2</sup>
Joystick	35	SR, PS	74.1
Switches	13	PS	19.7



Spatial Relations and Problem Solving were still highly predictive of W/C Skills

- PPWST is valid screening test for children who use joysticks but not switches, regardless of disability.
- Symbolic representation only slightly increase variance accounted for in w/c driving for children with CP w joysticks.
- Coping was not significant

### Areas of Development

- Motor access
- Problem solving/spatial relations
- Sensory/motor integration
- Safety judgment



Development and Evaluation of a Model for Provision of Powered Mobility to Young Children Project III (2000-2005)

> Funded by NIDRR, Dept of Education Donita Tefft,M.A., CCC-SP Paula Guerette, Ph.D. Jan Furumasu, B.S.,PT,ATP Los Amigos Research and Education Institute Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center

# Objectives – Project III

Conduct national survey to describe existing models of practice
Collect outcome data on children who have had powered mobility to document benefits

### Survey: Reasons W/C Not Recommended

- Cognitive factors 41%
- Physical factors 17%
- Behavioral factors 13%



#### Survey: Reasons W/C was NOT Received

- Funding Issue 39%
- Lack of family support 22%
- Transportation
   Issues 18%



### Purpose: Outcome measures

To determine the impact of early powered mobility on children's cognitive, psycho-social and play skills



### Background

In children with cerebral palsy, use of a powered W/C was found to...

Improve parental perceptions of child's behavior and disposition in the w/c

Did not affect gross motor function Bottos, et.al., 2001



### Procedure

- 23 /56 children who received power w/c
   1<sup>st</sup> pre-test at time of eval
- 2<sup>nd</sup> pre-test time of delivery (4-6 mos)
  3<sup>rd</sup> post-test (4-6 mos)





#### Social Skills

#### **Adaptive Social Behavior Inventory**

'Prosocial' component (understands others' feelings, cooperates, plays w/ other children) improved from pre to post-test (F=5.30, p<.01)

#### **Preschool Kindergarden Behavior Scales**

- No difference found in negative/disruptive behaviors
- Positive social skills (cooperation, interaction, independence) improved from pre1 to pre 2 (F=6.14, p=.009)
- No difference in negative behaviors

### Results

#### Survey of Technology Use

- Ability to remain engaged was significantly different from pre to post-test (F=3.60, p<.05); child needed more prompting to remain engaged
- Interactions with family significantly increased (F=4.53, p=.04) from pre- to posttest
- Significant increases seen in self-esteem, self-confidence, composure from pre1 to pre2

### Results

#### Play Skills

#### Observational Play Scale

- Increase in motor activities during indoor play (F=4.53, p<.02)</li>
- Increase in quality of interactive play (F=3.52, p<.04)</li>

#### Symbolic Play Scale

 Developmental level of play improved significantly after acquiring powered wheelchair (F=4.9, p<.02)</li>

#### Language development

 Preliminary results show no significant changes from pre- to post-test

### Summary

- Positive impact psychosocial skills (improvements found in confidence, cooperation, interactions w/ others)
- Improvements in play skills
- Preliminary results did not find differences in language development

RESNA Position paper on Pediatric Powered Mobility

 Recommends the early utilization of powered mobility for appropriate candidates as medically necessary to promote integration, psycho-social development, reduce learned helplessness and enhance independence. RESNA Position paper on Pediatric Powered Mobility

 Age, limited vision or cognition, behavioral issues, the ability to walk or propel a manual w/c short distances should not be used as discriminatory factors against Powered Mobility



Smart Wheelchairs Training Developmentally Disabled children to use Powered Wheelchairs

CALL Centre, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, 1992 University of Irvine, California, 2009

### Robot assisted powered mobility

 RESNA Position paper on pediatric powered mobility: <u>www.resna.org</u>

 Pediatric powered mobility projects: <u>www.ranchorep.org/pm</u>

jfurumasu@dhs.lacounty.gov